

REMARKS

The above amendment is made to insert claims more specific to the elected embodiments. Applicant believes that this amendment is enterable as a matter of right, not in conflict with the prohibition of 37 CFR 1.111(a)(2), with reference to 37 CFR 1.111(b). However, if the PTO considers otherwise, then applicant respectfully requests entry as a matter of discretion by the examiner, as otherwise is permitted under 37 CFR 1.111(a)(2).

The new claims added above all read on the elected subject matter. Moreover, claim 117 is amended above to now be generic to the elected subject matter, so it is no longer non-elected.

The claims cancelled above are cancelled entirely without prejudice to applicant's rights to pursue such claims at a later time, if applicant chooses to do so.

In the Reply to the restriction requirement filed October 9, 2007, it is stated that a copy of the Agerberth article was enclosed, but this was inadvertently not filed. Accordingly, a copy of same is attached hereto.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By



Sheridan Neimark

Registration No. 20,520

SN:kg

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197

Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\B\BENA\Hillman1\pto\2007-10-10PCTPRLAMD.doc